

## Correspondence

✉ Afsheen Shah, afsheen.shah@usindh.edu.pk

Received

Accepted

11, 08, 25

10, 09, 2025

## Authors' Contributions

Data Collection: FF; Analysis: FF, MZ, FNM;  
Design: AS; Drafting: FF, AS; Interpretation: FF,  
AS, FNM

## Copyrights

© 2025 Authors. This is an open, access article  
distributed under the terms of the Creative  
Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC  
BY 4.0). 

## Declarations

No funding was received for this study. The authors  
declare no conflict of interest. The study received  
ethical approval. All participants provided informed  
consent.["Click to Cite"](#)

## INTRODUCTION

Trauma remains one of the most pressing yet under-prioritized public health challenges in developing countries, exerting profound socioeconomic consequences on individuals, households, and national economies. Beyond its immediate clinical outcomes, trauma contributes to productivity loss, financial instability, and long-term dependency, particularly among low-income populations with limited access to timely healthcare and rehabilitation services. In resource-constrained settings, the combined burden of inadequate emergency response systems, fragile trauma-care infrastructure, and unsafe environments amplifies the impact of injuries, often converting preventable events into life-altering disability or avoidable mortality.

Globally, more than 90% of injury-related deaths occur in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs), where preventable causes—including road traffic injuries, occupational injuries, falls, and violence—continue to affect millions each year (1). Road traffic injuries alone account for over one million deaths annually and remain a major cause of mortality and disability among young adults—often those in the most economically productive years—resulting in major losses through reduced workforce participation and rising healthcare expenditure (1). Importantly, indirect and social costs—including loss of education, household displacement, and psychosocial distress—extend the burden far beyond the acute injury episode.

This editorial aims to highlight the interconnected relationship between trauma and socioeconomic vulnerability in developing countries. It underscores the urgent need for integrated national strategies that prioritize injury prevention, capacity building across prehospital and hospital emergency care, and sustainable investments in trauma and rehabilitation systems. By drawing attention to these critical gaps, this discussion seeks to inform policymakers, healthcare leaders, and researchers about strategies to mitigate the far-reaching economic and social consequences of trauma.

## CRITICAL VIEW AND ARGUMENT

Trauma is increasingly recognized not only as a medical emergency but also as a major socioeconomic and developmental challenge, particularly in developing countries. Its effects extend beyond physical injury, infiltrating families, communities, and national economies and contributing to cycles of poverty, dependency, and productivity loss. Injuries represent a substantial component of premature mortality and disability worldwide, and their burden in LMICs remains disproportionately high (2). Despite this, investment in injury prevention and trauma-care systems remains inadequate, often due to limited political prioritization and the persistent assumption that trauma is an unavoidable consequence of modernization and development.

Road traffic injuries illustrate this imbalance clearly. The World Health Organization estimates that road traffic crashes claim over 1.3 million lives annually, with more than 90% of these deaths occurring in LMICs (1). The burden disproportionately falls on young adults, resulting in substantial implications for labor markets, household income stability, and national economic productivity. However, road traffic injuries represent only one segment of the trauma burden, which also includes occupational injuries, falls, violence-related trauma, and disasters—all of which are amplified by weak safety regulation and limited enforcement capacity in many developing regions.

A deeper examination reveals a self-reinforcing relationship between trauma and socioeconomic disadvantage. Vulnerable communities are more exposed to unsafe roads, hazardous workplaces, substandard housing, and underregulated public transport. These populations often have limited access to emergency care, rehabilitation services, health insurance, and financial protection mechanisms. When a wage earner sustains a severe injury, household finances may deteriorate rapidly, forcing family members—including women and children—to assume additional labor responsibilities or discontinue education to compensate for lost income (3). Such disruptions contribute to long-term poverty transmission, reduced human capital development, and social marginalization.

The economic effects of trauma extend beyond direct medical expenses. Indirect costs—including productivity loss, disability-related unemployment, caregiving demands, and social exclusion—magnify the overall burden. In many LMIC contexts, injury-related mortality and disability impose large macroeconomic losses, sometimes reaching several percentage points of gross domestic product (GDP) (4). In the absence of comprehensive health coverage, out-of-pocket expenditures for surgery, hospitalization, and rehabilitation frequently result in catastrophic health expenditure and debt, particularly where trauma patients finance care through savings, borrowing, or asset liquidation (4).

Compounding the burden is the systemic weakness of trauma-care infrastructure in many LMICs. While high-income countries have developed integrated trauma systems encompassing prevention, prehospital response, emergency surgery, rehabilitation, and quality improvement, most developing nations lack standardized emergency response mechanisms. Prehospital care is often delivered by untrained first responders or informal transportation, contributing to preventable deaths before reaching health facilities. Within hospitals, care may be compromised by shortages of trained personnel, limited surgical capacity, inadequate imaging, and constrained access to essential supplies (5). Recognizing these realities, the WHO Guidelines for Essential Trauma Care outline achievable standards, essential resources, and system requirements for trauma management that can be adapted to diverse low-resource environments (6).

Critically, trauma-related policymaking in developing countries remains predominantly reactive, emphasizing post-incident clinical treatment rather than prevention and systems strengthening. National public health agendas often prioritize communicable diseases, while the rising burden of injuries receives less visibility, funding, and institutional commitment. This misalignment has contributed to underinvestment in trauma research, limited injury surveillance systems, and fragmented

# The Socioeconomic Burden of Trauma in Developing Countries: From Silent Epidemic to Policy Priority

Faiza Anwar<sup>1</sup>, Syed Asadullah Arslan<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> PhD Scholar, Department of Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation Sciences, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, Superior University, Lahore, Pakistan

<sup>2</sup> Department of Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation Sciences, Faculty of Allied Health Sciences, Superior University, Lahore, Pakistan

institutional responsibility. A paradigm shift is therefore required—treating trauma prevention and trauma-system strengthening as a cornerstone of national development strategies rather than a narrow healthcare issue (5).

From a socioeconomic perspective, investment in trauma prevention yields substantial economic and societal returns. Evidence consistently demonstrates that interventions such as helmet and seatbelt enforcement, speed management, safer road infrastructure, workplace safety regulation, and trauma-system strengthening can reduce deaths and disability while lowering long-term costs for healthcare systems and national economies (1,7). These interventions are not only cost-effective but also socially transformative, enabling communities to participate more safely and productively in economic life. The WHO/World Bank World Report on Road Traffic Injury Prevention and subsequent global road safety strategies reinforce that systematic prevention and enforcement are central to reducing deaths and disability (7,8). The intersection of trauma with gender inequality and social disadvantage further amplifies its socioeconomic burden. Women frequently serve as primary caregivers for injured family members, which can reduce their workforce participation and limit educational opportunities. This unpaid caregiving burden is rarely captured in economic statistics but has substantial implications for household resilience and national productivity. Moreover, violence-related injuries—including domestic and gender-based violence—represent an additional and under-addressed dimension of trauma that demands integration into trauma prevention and policy frameworks (9).

Ultimately, reducing the socioeconomic burden of trauma in developing countries requires integrated, multisectoral strategies. These include strengthening prehospital and hospital emergency care, expanding access to rehabilitation, establishing robust injury surveillance and trauma registries, improving financial risk protection through insurance and social welfare mechanisms, and implementing evidence-informed prevention programs. Technological innovations such as telemedicine, digital health records, and mobile emergency platforms may support these efforts, particularly in rural settings; however, sustainable success requires political commitment, long-term financing, and investment in human and institutional capacity.

## CONCLUSION

Trauma continues to impose a heavy and often underestimated burden on developing countries, affecting not only individual lives but also the social and economic stability of families and communities. The loss of productive human capital, rising healthcare costs, long-term disability, and caregiving demands contribute to poverty and hinder national development. In many LMICs, weak policy enforcement, limited access to emergency services, and inadequate preventive measures further intensify the crisis. To mitigate this burden, governments must prioritize trauma prevention and trauma-system strengthening as integral components of national development agendas. Investments in road safety, workplace protection, emergency medical systems, rehabilitation services, and financial risk protection are not merely health expenditures—they are strategic economic imperatives. Addressing trauma as a socioeconomic development priority is essential for achieving inclusive growth, sustainable development, and improved quality of life across developing nations.

## REFERENCES

1. World Health Organization. Global status report on road safety 2023. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2023.
2. Haagsma JA, Graetz N, Bolliger I, Naghavi M, Higashi H, Mullany EC, et al. The global burden of injury: incidence, mortality, disability-adjusted life years, and time trends from the Global Burden of Disease Study 2013. *Inj Prev*. 2016;22(1):3–18. doi:10.1136/injuryprev-2015-041616
3. Chokotho L, Mulwafu W, Singini I, Njalale Y, Pandit H, Lavy C. The burden of trauma in developing countries: a call to action. *Injury*. 2020;51(10):2235–2241. doi:10.1016/j.injury.2020.07.033
4. Norton R, Kobusingye O. Injuries. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;368(18):1723–1730. doi:10.1056/NEJMra1208708
5. Juillard C, Mock CN, Goosen J, Joshipura M, Civil I. Strengthening trauma systems in low- and middle-income countries. *World J Surg*. 2021;45(8):2390–2397. doi:10.1007/s00268-021-06047-5
6. World Health Organization. Guidelines for essential trauma care. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2004.
7. World Health Organization, World Bank. World report on road traffic injury prevention. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2004.
8. World Bank. Global Road Safety Facility: Saving lives through safer roads and mobility. Washington (DC): World Bank; 2022.
9. World Health Organization. Global plan for the decade of action for road safety 2021–2030. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2021.