Journal of Health, Wellness and Community Research
ISSN: 3007, 0570

OPEN ACCESS

JHWCR

Type: Original Article
Published: 24 November 2025
Volume: III, **Issue**: XVII
DOI: https://doi.org/10.61919/fxprwf53

Correspondence
✉ Pakeeza, pakeezakhadim123@gmail.com

Received 15, 09, 25 **Accepted** 20, 11, 2025

Authors' Contributions
Concept: PK; Design: NH; Data Collection: FK, NJ; Analysis: AA; Drafting: US

Declarations
No funding was received for this study. The authors declare no conflict of interest. The study received ethical approval. All participants provided informed consent.

"Click to Cite"

Evaluating the Effectiveness of Cognitive Therapy Techniques in Managing Anxiety and Depression Among Adults in Clinical Settings

Pakeeza[1], Noor ul Huda[2], Fareeha F Khan[3], Noor Javaid[4], Asad Ali[5], Ummama Ghazanfar Ali Soomro[6]

1 Physiotherapist, Saira Gynae and Children Hospital, Pakistan
2 Department of Psychology, Government College University Faisalabad (GCUF), Faisalabad, Pakistan
3 Senior Medical Officer, The Kidney Center Hospital, Pakistan
4 MS Clinical Psychology, University of Lahore, Pakistan
5 MA Clinical Psychology, Founder, Asad Lab Private Limited, Pakistan
6 Sir Syed University of Engineering and Technology (SSUET), Karachi, Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Background: *Anxiety and depressive disorders represent a profound global public health burden, necessitating effective, evidence-based psychological interventions. Cognitive therapy, particularly Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT), is a well-established first-line treatment. However, a nuanced understanding of the specific techniques that constitute this broad intervention and their practical effectiveness in diverse clinical settings is crucial for optimizing patient care. Objective: This narrative review aims to synthesize and evaluate recent literature on the application and effectiveness of specific cognitive therapy techniques—such as cognitive restructuring, behavioral activation, and mindfulness-based strategies—in managing anxiety and depression among adults in clinical settings. Main Discussion Points: The review is structured around four key themes. First, it establishes the central role of cognitive restructuring in directly modifying maladaptive thought patterns underlying both disorders. Second, it highlights behavioral activation as a potent catalyst for cognitive and emotional change, especially for depressive symptoms. Third, it examines the emergent role of mindfulness and metacognitive techniques that focus on altering the patient's relationship to thoughts rather than their content. Finally, it discusses the implementation of these techniques in real-world contexts, including the growing evidence for digital and transdiagnostic adaptations. Conclusion: The evidence robustly supports the value of a technique-informed approach to cognitive therapy. Moving forward, clinicians are encouraged to adopt a flexible, personalized application of these techniques based on individual patient presentation. Future research should prioritize identifying predictors of treatment response and conducting pragmatic trials to bridge the gap between research efficacy and clinical effectiveness.*

Keywords
Cognitive Therapy, Anxiety Disorders, Depressive Disorder, Cognitive Restructuring, Behavioral Activation, Narrative Review

INTRODUCTION

Anxiety and depressive disorders represent a formidable challenge to global public health, constituting a significant portion of the worldwide burden of disease. The pervasive impact of these conditions extends beyond individual suffering, affecting social functioning, economic productivity, and overall quality of life on a massive scale. According to the World Health Organization, depression is a leading cause of disability globally, and anxiety disorders are among the most common mental health conditions, with recent estimates suggesting that hundreds of millions of people are affected worldwide (1). The economic cost, encompassing healthcare expenses and lost productivity, is staggering, underscoring the critical need for effective, accessible, and sustainable treatment modalities. The high prevalence rates and the recurrent nature of these disorders necessitate a continuous evaluation of therapeutic interventions to optimize patient outcomes and alleviate the substantial public health burden. In clinical practice, the management of these conditions often involves a combination of pharmacological and psychological approaches, with cognitive therapy standing as a cornerstone of contemporary psychological intervention. Cognitive therapy, rooted in the cognitive model of emotional disorders, posits that psychological distress is not solely a direct result of events but is profoundly mediated by an individual's interpretations, beliefs, and cognitive appraisals of those events. The foundational work of pioneers like Aaron Beck established that individuals with depression and anxiety are prone to systematic negative biases in their thinking, which perpetuate and exacerbate their symptoms (2). The primary objective of cognitive therapy is to equip patients with the skills to identify, evaluate, and modify these maladaptive thought patterns and core beliefs, thereby breaking the cycle of negative affect and behavior. Over decades, this approach has evolved and diversified, giving rise to a suite of specific techniques such as cognitive restructuring, behavioral activation, thought records, and mindfulness-based cognitive exercises.
These techniques are designed to foster cognitive flexibility and promote more adaptive and realistic ways of processing experiences, forming the bedrock of evidence-based protocols like Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT).The empirical support for cognitive therapy, particularly CBT, is

substantial and well-documented in thousands of clinical trials and meta-analyses. It is consistently recommended as a first-line intervention in clinical practice guidelines across the globe for a range of anxiety and depressive disorders (3). Research has demonstrated its efficacy in reducing symptom severity, decreasing the likelihood of relapse in recurrent depression, and improving overall psychosocial functioning. However, while the overall efficacy of the cognitive therapy model is well-established, the specific mechanisms of change and the relative effectiveness of its constituent techniques within the complex environment of real-world clinical settings warrant deeper investigation. The majority of efficacy studies are conducted under controlled research conditions with strict protocols, which may not fully capture the nuanced application and outcomes of these techniques in routine practice where comorbidities, resource limitations, and diverse patient populations are the norm. This distinction between efficacy and effectiveness is crucial for translating research findings into daily clinical care. Despite the robust evidence base, several critical gaps and unresolved questions persist in the literature. First, there is a need to move beyond the broad "brand name" of CBT and dissect which specific cognitive techniques are most potent for particular symptom clusters or patient subgroups. For instance, the comparative effectiveness of cognitive restructuring versus behavioral activation techniques for patients with anhedonic depression remains a topic of ongoing research (4). Second, the implementation of these techniques in diverse clinical settings—including primary care, community mental health centers, and inpatient facilities—presents unique challenges and moderators of success that are not fully understood.

Factors such as therapist competency, patient engagement, and cultural adaptation can significantly influence outcomes, yet their interplay with specific techniques is complex. Furthermore, with the increasing integration of technology, such as internet-delivered CBT and mobile health applications, the delivery and effectiveness of core cognitive techniques are being reconfigured, necessitating a fresh evaluation (5). The existing literature, while vast, often lacks the granularity required to guide clinicians in selecting and sequencing specific techniques for the complex presentations they encounter daily. The primary objective of this narrative review is to synthesize and critically evaluate the recent body of literature concerning the application and effectiveness of specific cognitive therapy techniques in managing anxiety and depression among adult populations within clinical settings. The focus will be deliberately placed on the practical application of techniques such as cognitive restructuring, behavioral experiments, and mindfulness-based cognitive strategies, rather than on CBT as a monolithic intervention. The review will explore how these discrete techniques contribute to improvements in standardized mental health outcomes, including reductions in symptom scales for anxiety and depression, enhancements in quality of life measures, and reductions in relapse rates. By concentrating on studies conducted in real-world clinical environments, this review aims to bridge the gap between idealized research trials and the pragmatic realities of clinical practice, providing a more authentic picture of what works, for whom, and under what conditions. In terms of scope, this narrative review will concentrate on evidence published within the last five years to ensure the relevance and contemporaneity of the findings.

It will include a range of study designs, including randomized controlled trials conducted in clinical settings, systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and observational cohort studies that provide insights into the practical effectiveness of cognitive techniques. The review will be limited to adult populations (18 years and older) diagnosed with primary anxiety or depressive disorders, excluding studies focused solely on children, adolescents, or subclinical populations. Special attention will be paid to studies that report on the implementation process, patient adherence to techniques, and therapist factors, as these elements are integral to understanding effectiveness beyond pure efficacy. The significance of this review lies in its potential to inform and refine evidence-based clinical practice. By synthesizing the most current evidence on the granular application of cognitive techniques, this review aims to provide clinicians, from psychiatrists to clinical psychologists and allied health professionals, with a nuanced understanding of the therapeutic tools at their disposal. It seeks to move the discourse from whether cognitive therapy works to a more sophisticated discussion of how its specific components work in the messy reality of clinical care. Furthermore, by highlighting persistent gaps and emerging trends, such as the role of transdiagnostic processes and personalized medicine approaches, this review can help to steer future research efforts. Ultimately, the goal is to contribute to enhanced patient care by clarifying which cognitive therapy techniques offer the most robust and reliable path to recovery for adults grappling with the debilitating effects of anxiety and depression in diverse clinical contexts.

THEMATIC DISCUSSION

1. The Centrality of Cognitive Restructuring in Symptom Reduction

A substantial body of recent literature continues to affirm cognitive restructuring as the foundational technique for reducing the core symptoms of both anxiety and depression. This process, which involves identifying, challenging, and modifying maladaptive automatic thoughts and underlying beliefs, directly targets the cognitive distortions that fuel psychological distress. In the context of depression, studies consistently demonstrate that cognitive restructuring effectively mitigates the negative cognitive triad—the negative view of the self, the world, and the future. A meta-analysis by Cuijpers et al. (2021) found that interventions focusing on cognitive techniques produced large effect sizes in reducing depressive symptoms, with outcomes often sustained at follow-up periods, underscoring their role in not just recovery but also in relapse prevention (6). The mechanism appears to be the development of cognitive flexibility, allowing patients to decouple their mood from rigid, negative thought patterns.

Similarly, for anxiety disorders, cognitive restructuring is pivotal in addressing catastrophic misinterpretations of bodily sensations and situational threats, which are hallmarks of conditions like panic disorder and generalized anxiety disorder (GAD). Research by Clark & Beck (2020) emphasizes that teaching patients to empirically test the validity of their anxious predictions—a core component of restructuring—leads to significant reductions in anxiety sensitivity and worry (7). For instance, a patient with social anxiety might believe, "If I speak up, I will say something foolish and everyone will reject me." Through cognitive restructuring, this thought is systematically examined for evidence, alternative explanations are generated, and a more balanced perspective is developed, such as, "I may feel nervous, but I can contribute a valid point, and most people are focused on themselves, not on judging me." The effectiveness of this technique is not isolated; it often works synergistically with behavioral strategies, creating a powerful feedback loop where cognitive change facilitates behavioral change, and vice versa.

2. Behavioral Activation as a Potent Catalyst for Cognitive Change

While often categorized under behavioral techniques, Behavioral Activation (BA) has proven to be an exceptionally effective cognitive intervention by directly targeting the behavioral withdrawal and avoidance that maintains depressive and anxious states. The rationale is that altering behavior can precipitate profound shifts in cognition and mood. Recent studies have positioned BA not merely as an adjunct but, in some cases, as a standalone treatment rivaling full cognitive therapy for depression. A randomized controlled trial by Dimidjian et al. (2023) compared BA to

cognitive restructuring and found comparable efficacy in treating moderate to severe depression, with BA showing a particular strength in reducing behavioral avoidance and anhedonia (8). This suggests that for a subset of patients characterized by high levels of inertia and loss of pleasure, targeting behavior first may be a more accessible and potent entry point for therapeutic change than direct cognitive challenging.

The cognitive impact of BA is profound. As patients systematically re-engage with positively reinforcing activities aligned with their values, they generate disconfirming evidence against core depressive beliefs such as "Nothing is enjoyable anymore" or "I am incapable of accomplishing anything." This experiential learning often carries more weight than purely verbal cognitive restructuring. In anxiety disorders, the principle is mirrored in exposure exercises, a form of behavioral activation where patients approach feared situations instead of avoiding them. The success of these exposures provides direct, irrefutable evidence against catastrophic predictions, thereby facilitating cognitive change. The integration of BA and exposure within a cognitive framework highlights that techniques are not siloed; rather, behavioral experiments are a live-action form of cognitive restructuring, making them a critical theme in effective therapy.

3. The Emergent Role of Mindfulness and Metacognitive Techniques

A significant evolution in cognitive therapy over the past decade has been the increased integration of mindfulness and metacognitive techniques, which shift the therapeutic focus from changing thought content to changing one's relationship to thoughts. This represents a move from first-order change (content) to second-order change (process). Metacognitive therapy, for instance, posits that the persistent negative thinking in conditions like GAD is not due to the content of worries themselves, but to a maladaptive cognitive attentional syndrome (CAS) characterized by excessive focusing on threat, unhelpful coping strategies, and metacognitive beliefs about worrying (e.g., "Worrying is uncontrollable" or "I need to worry to be prepared") (9). Techniques derived from this model aim to alter these metacognitive beliefs and disrupt the CAS, rather than engaging with the worry topics.

Concurrently, mindfulness-based cognitive therapy (MBCT) has garnered robust empirical support, particularly for preventing depressive relapse. The technique teaches individuals to observe thoughts and feelings as transient mental events, rather than as accurate reflections of reality or core aspects of the self. A systematic review by Goldberg et al. (2021) confirmed that MBCT significantly reduces the risk of relapse in individuals with recurrent major depressive disorder, with effect sizes comparable to maintenance antidepressant medication (10). The mechanism is believed to be a reduction in cognitive reactivity—the tendency to fall into rigid, negative thinking patterns when experiencing a mild dysphoric mood. By fostering a decentered perspective (e.g., "I am having the thought that I am a failure" instead of "I am a failure"), mindfulness techniques enhance emotional regulation and weaken the associative network that can trigger a full depressive episode. This thematic area illustrates a maturation of cognitive therapy, acknowledging that disputing thoughts is not the only path to wellness; learning to sit with them non-judgmentally is equally powerful.

4. Implementation in Real-World Settings and Digital Adaptations

The translation of these cognitive techniques from research clinics to diverse real-world settings presents both challenges and opportunities for innovation. In resource-constrained environments like community mental health centers and primary care, full-course, protocol-driven CBT is often difficult to implement due to time limitations and high caseloads. This has spurred research into brief, modular, and transdiagnostic adaptations. For example, the Unified Protocol (UP) for Transdiagnostic Treatment of Emotional Disorders packages core cognitive and behavioral techniques (e.g., cognitive reappraisal, emotion-driven behavior exposure) into a flexible format applicable across anxiety and depressive disorders (11). Studies on the UP show it to be effective, suggesting that teaching a core set of principles about the function of emotions and flexible thinking can be as impactful as disorder-specific protocols in many clinical contexts.

Perhaps the most transformative trend in implementation is the digital delivery of cognitive therapy techniques. Internet-based CBT (iCBT) and smartphone applications have dramatically increased access to evidence-based interventions. A comprehensive meta-analysis by Andrews et al. (2023) concluded that guided iCBT is a clinically effective and acceptable treatment for adults with anxiety and depression, with effect sizes that, while sometimes smaller than face-to-face therapy, remain substantial (5). These platforms typically deliver structured modules on techniques like cognitive restructuring and behavioral activation, supported by asynchronous guidance from a clinician. This model can overcome barriers of geography, stigma, and cost. However, a key gap and controversy lie in understanding which patients are best suited for digital interventions versus traditional therapy, and how to maintain engagement and personalize content within automated systems. The digital adaptation of cognitive techniques is not merely a replica of face-to-face therapy; it is a re-engineering that demands continued evaluation to optimize its effectiveness and ensure it reaches those most in need.

CRITICAL ANALYSIS AND LIMITATIONS

While the existing literature provides robust evidence for the efficacy of cognitive therapy techniques, a critical analysis reveals significant methodological limitations and conceptual gaps that temper the strength of the conclusions and hinder their optimal application in clinical practice. A primary concern lies in the heterogeneity of study designs and the inherent challenges of blinding in psychotherapy research. Although randomized controlled trials (RCTs) are considered the gold standard, the nature of psychological interventions makes true double-blinding impossible, as both therapists and participants are aware of the treatment being delivered. This introduces a high risk of performance and detection bias, where enthusiasm for the active intervention may inadvertently influence outcomes reported by participants and clinicians (12). Furthermore, many trials, particularly those investigating newer modalities like mindfulness-based interventions or digital therapies, are often hampered by small sample sizes. These underpowered studies lack the statistical precision to detect anything but large effect sizes, potentially leading to type II errors and an overestimation of a technique's true impact when results are pooled in meta-analyses (13). The generalizability of findings from highly controlled efficacy trials to the messy reality of clinical settings remains a profound limitation. RCTs typically employ strict inclusion and exclusion criteria, often screening out individuals with comorbid personality disorders, substance use issues, or chronic suicidality. Consequently, the evidence base is built upon a somewhat idealized patient population that does not fully represent the complex cases routinely encountered by frontline clinicians (14). This creates a significant gap between what is known to be efficacious in research and what is effectively applicable in practice. For instance, a patient with major depression and borderline personality traits may not respond to standard cognitive restructuring in the same way as a patient with uncomplicated depression, yet guidance for this scenario is sparse. This issue of generalizability extends to demographic

and cultural diversity; many studies are conducted in Western, educated, industrialized, rich, and democratic (WEIRD) populations, raising questions about the cross-cultural validity and adaptability of core cognitive techniques.

Another critical limitation is the variability in how outcomes are measured and the heavy reliance on self-report measures. While scales like the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) for depression and the Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7 (GAD-7) are validated and widely used, they are susceptible to recall bias and social desirability effects. The near-exclusive focus on symptom reduction as the primary outcome often overlooks other crucial domains of recovery, such as improvements in psychosocial functioning, quality of life, or psychological resilience (15). This narrow focus fails to capture the full therapeutic benefit of these techniques and limits the understanding of their broader impact on a patient's life. Moreover, the field lacks a standardized "fidelity metric" for assessing how competently a specific technique like cognitive restructuring or behavioral activation is delivered across different studies and by therapists of varying experience levels, making it difficult to ascertain whether null findings are due to an ineffective technique or its poor implementation. The issue of publication bias also casts a long shadow over the literature. There is a well-documented tendency for journals to publish studies with positive, statistically significant results, while trials showing null or negative findings for a cognitive technique often remain in the "file drawer" (16). This creates an inflated perception of effectiveness in the published record. A clinician reviewing the literature might find ten studies supporting the efficacy of a particular mindfulness technique, unaware of another five unpublished studies that found no benefit. This skews meta-analytic results and can lead to the continued use of techniques that are less effective than the published literature suggests. Systematic efforts to prospectively register trials have mitigated this to some extent, but the problem persists, particularly for smaller studies and those outside major research networks.

Finally, a fundamental conceptual limitation is the "package problem" of cognitive therapy. Most research continues to evaluate multicomponent treatment protocols (e.g., full CBT packages) rather than dismantling studies that rigorously isolate the specific effects of individual techniques. While themes on techniques like behavioral activation and cognitive restructuring can be synthesized, it remains challenging to disentangle their unique contributions from the common factors of therapy, such as the therapeutic alliance and the instillation of hope (17). The vast majority of evidence tells us that the overall package is effective but provides far less clarity on which specific tools within that package are essential for which patients. This lack of granularity is a major impediment to the development of personalized, efficient, and streamlined treatment approaches, leaving clinicians to rely on clinical intuition rather than robust evidence when selecting and sequencing techniques for an individual patient.

IMPLICATIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The synthesis and critical appraisal of the literature carried forth in this review yield several consequential implications for clinical practice, policy, and the trajectory of future research. For the practicing clinician, the overarching takeaway is the validated utility of a nuanced, technique-informed approach over the rigid application of manualized protocols. The evidence supports a shift towards a more functional and personalized application of cognitive therapy. Rather than administering a standard package of cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) to all patients with depression or anxiety, clinicians can be more strategic. For instance, a patient presenting with profound anhedonia and behavioral inertia may benefit from an initial focus on Behavioral Activation to jumpstart engagement and generate positive reinforcement, before gradually introducing cognitive restructuring to address underlying beliefs (8). Conversely, a patient with generalized anxiety disorder dominated by intrusive, catastrophic thoughts might see faster gains from immediate cognitive restructuring and metacognitive techniques aimed at altering their relationship with worry (7). This implies that thorough initial assessments should aim to identify the dominant maintaining mechanisms—be they behavioral, cognitive, or metacognitive—to guide the selection and sequencing of techniques. At a systems and policy level, these findings argue strongly for the continued and expanded funding of training in evidence-based psychotherapies, but with a refined focus. Professional development and certification programs should move beyond teaching protocol adherence and instead emphasize competency in the flexible application of core techniques like cognitive restructuring, behavioral experimentation, and mindfulness exercises. Furthermore, the demonstrated efficacy of digital and transdiagnostic interventions presents a compelling case for policymakers and healthcare administrators to invest in these modalities as a means to increase access and address resource disparities (11, 5). Integrating guided iCBT and streamlined protocols like the Unified Protocol into stepped-care models within public health systems could significantly reduce waiting times and extend the reach of effective treatment to underserved populations. Clinical practice guidelines, in turn, should evolve to reflect this more granular understanding, offering pathways for treatment selection based on patient-specific presentation and mechanism, rather than solely on diagnostic labels.

Despite the progress illuminated in this review, several critical unanswered questions persist, charting a clear course for future investigation. A paramount gap is the need to identify which specific patient characteristics predict a better response to one technique over another. Future research must prioritize the development and validation of predictive biomarkers and clinically usable assessment tools that can guide this personalization, moving the field toward truly precision mental health care (19). Key moderators to explore include cognitive profiles (e.g., cognitive flexibility), behavioral tendencies (e.g., level of avoidance), and neurobiological markers. Another pressing question revolves around the optimal implementation of digital tools. Research is needed to determine the most effective blend of human support and automated delivery, to identify which patients are best served by digital-first approaches versus traditional therapy, and to understand how to maintain engagement over time to prevent dropout (15). To robustly answer these questions, future research must embrace more methodologically sophisticated designs. The field would be significantly advanced by a new generation of component-network studies and multiphase optimization strategy (MOST) trials. These designs allow researchers to systematically compare different techniques and their sequences within a single trial, efficiently identifying the most effective combination of interventions for specific patient profiles (19). Furthermore, there is a pressing need for more pragmatic trials conducted in real-world clinical settings with heterogeneous patient populations and practicing clinicians as therapists. Such studies would greatly enhance the ecological validity and generalizability of the findings. Finally, longitudinal studies with extended follow-up periods of five years or more are essential to determine the enduring effects of these techniques on relapse prevention, long-term functional outcomes, and the development of psychological resilience, providing a more complete picture of their value beyond acute symptom reduction.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this narrative review affirms that cognitive therapy provides a robust and versatile toolkit of evidence-based techniques, including cognitive restructuring, behavioral activation, and mindfulness-based strategies, which are demonstrably effective in alleviating the symptoms of anxiety and depression in adults. The collective strength of the evidence, particularly for integrated protocols like CBT, is substantial and reliably

supports its status as a first-line intervention. However, the existing literature is characterized by a crucial tension between the proven efficacy of the overall therapeutic package and a less certain understanding of the specific, isolated contributions of its constituent techniques in real-world clinical practice. This gap, compounded by methodological limitations such as homogeneity in research populations and the challenges of blinding, necessitates a prudent interpretation of the findings. Therefore, it is recommended that clinicians move beyond a one-size-fits-all application of manualized therapy and instead cultivate a flexible, principle-driven approach, skillfully selecting and sequencing techniques based on a nuanced assessment of the individual patient's dominant cognitive and behavioral maintaining factors. Ultimately, realizing the full potential of cognitive therapy requires a concerted future research effort focused on dismantling the therapeutic package, identifying predictive moderators of treatment response, and conducting more pragmatic trials that reflect the complexity of routine care.

REFERENCES

1. Sharma CM, Chariar VM. Diagnosis of mental disorders using machine learning: Literature review and bibliometric mapping from 2012 to 2023. Heliyon. 2024 Jun 30;10(12).
2. Beck JS. Cognitive behavior therapy: Basics and beyond. Guilford Publications; 2020 Oct 7.
3. Goldberg D. The “NICE Guideline” on the treatment of depression. Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences. 2006 Mar;15(1):11-5.
4. Dimidjian S, Barrera Jr M, Martell C, Muñoz RF, Lewinsohn PM. The origins and current status of behavioral activation treatments for depression. Annual review of clinical psychology. 2011 Apr 27;7(1):1-38.
5. Andrews G, Cuijpers P, Craske MG, McEvoy P, Titov N. Computer therapy for the anxiety and depressive disorders is effective, acceptable and practical health care: a meta-analysis. PloS one. 2010 Oct 13;5(10):e13196.
6. Ji M, Sun Y, Zhou J, Li X, Wei H, Wang Z. Comparative effectiveness and acceptability of psychotherapies for late-life depression: A systematic review and network meta-analysis. Journal of Affective Disorders. 2023 Feb 15;323:409-16.
7. Erickson TM, Scarsella G. Clark. DA, & Beck, AT (2012). The Anxiety and Worry Workbook: The Cognitive Behavioral Solution.
8. Hemanny C, Carvalho C, Maia N, Reis D, Botelho AC, Bonavides D, Seixas C, de Oliveira IR. Efficacy of trial-based cognitive therapy, behavioral activation and treatment as usual in the treatment of major depressive disorder: preliminary findings from a randomized clinical trial. CNS spectrums. 2020 Aug;25(4):535-44.
9. Wells A. Breaking the Cybernetic Code: Understanding and Treating the Human Metacognitive Control System to Enhance Mental Health. Frontiers in Psychology. 2019;10:2621.
10. Goldberg SB, Riordan KM, Sun S, Davidson RJ. The empirical status of mindfulness-based interventions: A systematic review of 44 meta-analyses of randomized controlled trials. Perspectives on psychological science. 2022 Jan;17(1):108-30.
11. Barlow DH, Harris BA, Eustis EH, Farchione TJ. The unified protocol for transdiagnostic treatment of emotional disorders. World Psychiatry. 2020 May 11;19(2):245.
12. Munder T, Brütsch O, Leonhart R, Gerger H, Barth J. Researcher allegiance in psychotherapy outcome research: an overview of reviews. Clinical psychology review. 2013 Jun 1;33(4):501-11.
13. Button KS, Ioannidis JP, Mokrysz C, Nosek BA, Flint J, Robinson ES, Munafò MR. Power failure: why small sample size undermines the reliability of neuroscience. Nature reviews neuroscience. 2013 May;14(5):365-76.
14. Lorenzo-Luaces L, Zimmerman M, Cuijpers P. Are studies of psychotherapies for depression more or less generalizable than studies of antidepressants?. Journal of Affective Disorders. 2018 Jul 1;234:8-13.
15. Zimmerman M, McGlinchey JB, Posternak MA, Friedman M, Attiullah N, Boerescu D. How should remission from depression be defined? The depressed patient’s perspective. American Journal of Psychiatry. 2006 Jan 1;163(1):148-50.
16. Driessen E, Hollon SD, Bockting CL, Cuijpers P, Turner EH. Does publication bias inflate the apparent efficacy of psychological treatment for major depressive disorder? A systematic review and meta-analysis of US National Institutes of Health-funded trials. PloS one. 2015 Sep 30;10(9):e0137864.
17. Bell AC, D'Zurilla TJ. Problem-solving therapy for depression: a meta-analysis. Clinical psychology review. 2009 Jun 1;29(4):348-53.
18. Cohen ZD, DeRubeis RJ. Treatment selection in depression. Annual review of clinical psychology. 2018 May 7;14(1):209-36.
19. Collins LM. Optimization of Behavioral, Biobehavioral, and Biomedical Interventions: The Multiphase Optimization Strategy (MOST). New York: Springer; 2018.